

Gartner | 2011 COOL VENDOR

# 3 Ways to build a SaaS Product







### **SaaS without Multi-tenancy**



- ❖ A separate server instance for each customer
  - Separate Box
  - Separate Shared Hosting Slice
  - Virtual Machine in a data centre
  - Amazon Instance
  - Azure VM Instance
  - Azure Instance with SQL Azure
- Multi-tenant SaaS
  - Infrastructure is same as above
  - Same instance serves multiple customers



# Gartner | 2011 COOL VENDOR

# **Multi-tenancy – Maturity Models**







## **Advantages of Multi-tenancy**



- Cost Savings on
  - Hosting
  - Production Administration and Maintenance
  - Set up / Provisioning / De-provisioning for each customer
  - Customization for each customer
  - Maintaining code base(s)
- Leveraging Cloud Elasticity
  - Handling widely varying loads within and across customers





#### 1: APaaS and VPaaS



- Example ; Force.com, OrangeScape.
- Advantages
  - Faster Development (4GL, YGWYS, BA/User designed apps)
  - Scalable Deployment
  - Very little headache
  - Ideal for Internal / Long Tail apps of Enterprises, SMBs.
- Disadvantages
  - Complex requirements require workaround coding
    - Example: Usage of Macros and VB in MS Access
  - Limited Flexibility, Technical and Strategic Control
  - Platform Lock-in, Vendor lock-in, IP Valuation issues.
  - Exit options : Back to Zero





# 2: Emulating Multi-tenancy @ run time (SaaSGrid)

- Database sharing is handled by run-time container / SaaS Server
- Customer on-boarding, user management, feature packaging, price book management etc. are handled by the SaaSServer.
- Advantages :
  - Suitable for existing web applications
  - Faster time to market
- Disadvantages
  - Customizability and Configurability has to be designed and engineered at the application level.
    - The SaaS Server will only replicate it for multiple tenants.
  - Vendor lock-in, Platform Lock in, High upfront investment
  - Exit options : Back to where you started.





# 3: Custom Development



- ❖ 3.1 Monolithic SaaS Application stack
- 3.2 Build a Multi-tenant SaaS Framework In house
  - Build business functions on top of the framework
  - The framework can be maintained and upgraded independently without disrupting the business functionalities.
  - The framework is re-usable for multiple products within the organization
  - Very high discipline is required to keep the framework independent and to continuously keep enhancing it
- ❖ 3.3 Buy a Multi-tenant SaaS Framework
  - With or without Source code





#### **3: Custom Development - Advantages**



- Maximum flexibility, freedom and control
- Use industry standard technology stacks such as .NET or J2EE
- Leverage large eco system of resources, tools and components
- No vendor lock in or platform lock in (other than the technology stack chosen)
- Better IP Valuation
- In house or Bought Out Framework advantages
  - Re-usability and cost apportionment across products
  - Easy to maintain, upgrade and enhance independently
- Bought out framework advantages
  - Saves time & cost, reduces risk. Robust and tested foundation.
  - Loosely coupled, easily extensible without touching framework code.





#### 3: Custom Development – Dis-advantages



- Build your own monolithic Multi-tenant application stack
  - Time, Cost and Expertise required: 30-40% overhead
  - Maintenance and Upgrades are complex
  - Poor re-usability
- ❖ Build your own re-usable Multi-tenant framework
  - Time, Cost, Expertise (Can be apportioned across products)
  - Has to be more generic and loosely coupled.
  - Strict Discipline : From design & Engg, to maintenance & enhancement
- Buy a ready made framework (With or without source code)
  - Additional Licensing costs.
  - Framework Version management (if bought with source code)



# **Typical Stack**

